Personal uprightness as the just cause in Psalm 17: Afrocentric reflections

This essay situates study of the psalter within an African interpretational modality. Given the fact that western scholarship has dominated if not prescribed approaches to biblical texts demonstrable from the absence of African motifs in research by African students until recent times, this new opportunity for other perspectives invites contributions. As such the analysis of Psalm 17 here is such a contribution utilising a real-life African situation to show how that context can uniquely assist to illuminate biblical texts.

**Intradisciplinary and/or interdisciplinary implications:** The essay connotes social-justice and political African constructs in conversation with biblical analysis of an ancient Hebrew text. Practical and systematic theology are implied as well as African cultural studies with a decolonial agenda.

**Keywords:** justice; uprightness; Afrocentric; equity; fairness.

### Introduction

During a recent visit to N’djamena, the capital city of the Republic of Chad, whilst enjoying lunch with a group of leaders, a rather heated debate broke out concerning a particular man from their neighbourhood. It turns out that he formerly was a prominent personality within government circles with a notoriety for being heavy-handed and unfairly disposed towards members of the opposition political party. As fate would have it, his fortunes turned for the worse after a fall out with the government leadership, leading to losing his position. Needless to say, he inevitably failed to secure any form of employment thereafter because of the reputation associated with his past. His business enterprises collapsed through hostile takeovers because he no longer enjoyed government protection. During my visit, he was bereaved and uncharacteristically the community went against their customary commiseration with him. When I asked why this was being performed, the men at the table said justice applies to the upright, implying until the man becomes humane in observable ways, he will continue to be shunned. I asked if he could appeal to court of law to secure his lost businesses, the statement was reiterated, and justice is for the upright.


> … a methodological resource that makes African social cultural contexts the subject of interpretation. This is a methodology that reappraises ancient biblical tradition and African world-views, cultures and life experiences, with the purpose of correcting the effect of the cultural, ideological conditioning to which Africa and Africans have been subjected in the business of biblical interpretation. It is the rereading of the Christian scripture from a premeditatedly Africentric perspective. (p. 62)

The proposed Afrocentric reading of this Psalm is a response to the predominance of Euro-American readings of biblical texts which routinely excluded African realities. In his introductory remarks to an article on this subject matter, Adamo (2007:21) describes his theological training as non-African in that it was devoid of African views and values that were either outrightly dismissed or demonised, whilst Western manners were inculcated and promoted. This is reflected in Holter’s (2002) listing of doctoral dissertations in the Old Testament by African students from 1967 to 2000 which reveals the hegemonic hold of Western approaches. In a review...
of this publication, Bediako (2004) particularises on the absence of African world views in the dissertations when he states that:

[N]one shows serious use of the African context as a resource for interpretation or indicates that the African world, with its awareness of transcendence that is ‘larger’ than what the Western Enlightenment outlook allows for, can illuminate the Old Testament, probably because most dissertations were produced at Western institutions. (p. 138)

That said, even African scholars who studied on the continent were mostly under the supervision of missionaries and their scholarship defaulted to that of the instructors.

In this article, Africanisation does not represent a rejectionist agenda of Euro-American readings per se, but a revisionist paradigm intended to critically register the agency of African realities and the African in the ongoing analysis of Psalm 17. Adamo (2015) proposes:

The rereading of the Christian scripture from a premeditated Africentric perspective. Specifically, it means that the analysis of the biblical text is done from the perspective of African world-view and culture. (p. 33)

This is in line with emerging works and various attempts, one of such was spearheaded by Holter (2006) mentioned above, towards an African hermeneutical approach to the study of the Hebrew Bible. The approaches in these studies fall under three categories, namely: ‘Using Africa to Interpret the Old Testament’, ‘Using the Old Testament to Interpret Africa’, and ‘Finding Africa in the Old Testament’. This article falls under the first category with emphasis on a pragmatic approach that best serves the priorities of this research in line with Adamo’s (2015:32) definition that ‘African biblical hermeneutic(s) is the principle of interpretation of the Bible for transformation in Africa’. With that transformative agenda in mind, our attention to the text to highlight three aspects:

• a just cause is predicated on the prospect of being heard (Ps 17:1)
• a just cause is determinable for a worthy individual (17:2–12)
• a just cause is ultimately pacified through the attainment of divine likenesses (17:13–15).

Analysis

A just cause is predicated on the prospect of being heard Psalm 17:1

The petitioner of the prayer (תְּפִלָּה, tephilah) recorded in Psalm 17 identified in the superscription as David (Ps 17, 86, 90, 92, 142), opens with a triad of pleas (17:1), as a precursor to the significant space dedicated to his personal uprightness (מֶשָּׁרִים, mēšārim). The opening is commensurate with individual lament psalms in which divine audience is sought before the presentation of the complaint (see Ps 5:1–3; 27:7–9; 28:1–2; 55:1; 61:1–2; 63:1–2; 120:1–2; 141:1–2; 142:1–2; 143:1).

However, in 17:1, the use of the imperative construct in the verbs entreating divine attention as well as the extended appeal (17:2) deviates from the ‘the invocations in the Hebrew laments of the individual [that] are brief and direct’ (Lenzi 2010:305). The intensity of the entreaty perhaps emanates from other situations where divine delays or abandonment have been experienced (Ps 13:1; 22:1; 27:9; 42:1–3; 43:2; 69:1–3; 88:1–2; 102:1–2; 109:1) and the poignancy of isolation motivates the need for intervention.

The fact that divine audience cannot be taken for granted is indicative of the privilege of being heard as the premise for a

5.Psalm 17 has been variously structured with Grogan (2005) has a three-part structure 17:1–5 A Righteous Psalm; 17:6–14 Plea for an Answer; 17:13–15 Assurance, which does not quite coincide with Bergant’s (2013) 17:1–8 A prayer for Justice; 17:9–14 Attacks of Enemies; 17:15 Claim of Innocence: Walther (2006) presents a four-part structure 17:1–5 Petition for a fair hearing; 17:6–12 Petition for Refuge from the Wicked; 17:13–14 Improprical Prayer for God’s Judgement upon the enemy; 17:15 Expression of Hope; deClausse-Waljodo, Jacobson and Tanner’s (2014) three sections of pleas 17:1–2; 17:6–9; 17:13–14; interspersed with a complaints 17:3–5, 17:10–12 and a declaration of trust. The approach in this article has been to somewhat simplify the structure by combining description of the problem with the appeal for help as well as appeal for help with confession of trust for pragmatic reasons.

6.The verbs ‘hear’ (שָׁמַע, šāmāʿ); ‘attend’ (נָאָדֹב, nāadōb) and ‘give ear to’ (שָׁמָּן, šāmān) all in the imperative construct represent an intensity in the exhortation. The first has the idea of registering a cause for being granted audience, the second a similar idea but with emphasis on listening carefully and the third even more emphatic with the idea to heed something. There is an observable progression in intensity and a build-up here.

7.The following are assumed to be individual laments in the Psalm 3; 6; 7; 13; 17; 22; 26; 27:7–14; 28; 31; 39; 42; 43; 51; 55; 56; 57; 59; 61; 63; 68; 70; 71; 86; 88; 102; 109; 120; 130; 140; 141; 142, 143.

8.The nature of a lament is herein implied as stated by Brueggemann (1995:105): (1) Things are not right in the present arrangement. (2) They need not stay this way and can be changed. (3) The speaker will not accept then in this way. (4) It is God’s obligation to change things.

9.Lenzi (2010:304–305) samples various invocations utilised in the laments of the individual who show the following: In most laments of the individual in the Hebrew Psalter the prayer is simply hwyw, ‘O Yahweh’ (see, e.g. Ps 3:2; 6:2) or as would be expected in the Elohist Psalter, Myhlī. ‘O God’ (see, e.g. Ps 43:1; 51:3). Other invocations are just as short, consisting of a single word (Ps 59:2, yhl, ‘O my god’ or האֱלֹהֵי, or only slightly longer, made so by placing two very brief invocations in parallel in the opening line or two (see Ps 28:1, yvw/hwyy, ‘O Yahweh/my rock’; 70:2, hwyw/Myhlī, ‘O God/O Yahweh’; 25:1–2, yhl/hwyy, ‘O Yahweh/my god’; and 130:1–2, yhw/hwyy, ‘O Yahweh/my lord’). The longest invocations consist of only three words: Ps 2:5–2:3, which places hwyw in parallel with yhw yklm, ‘my king and my god’; Ps 22:2–3, showing yl yl, ‘my god, my god’, in parallel with yhl, ‘O Yahweh, god of my salvation’.
just cause. By definition, Hebrew laments of the individual represent a petitioner’s quest for justice. Significantly, the concept יְשָׁרִים (yesharim) used in 17:2 to depict the speaker’s cause is utilised in the Hebrew Bible interchangeably with מִשְׁפָּט (mispaṭ) justice or vindication also in 17:2 which according to Younan (2011:26) has legal connotations as well as a bookending feature (17:15) or what Goldingay (2006:239) calls a quasi-judicial content of appeal from self-purported innocence. The terminal for justice as in ‘the act of deciding a legal dispute or case or a state or condition of fairness in disputes’ (Swanson 1997) lies in the prospect of being heard. Becket (2016) correctly points out:

The lament of the people typically contains the following component parts, albeit with variation in expression: an introductory petition to God for help, a complaint, a confession of trust in God, the specific petition (for God to hear, to save, and/or to punish), a rationale for God’s action, and a vow to praise God for his solicited action. The individual lament incorporates all of these elements, with the addition of an assurance of being heard, and often, a double wish that God would intervene on the lamenteter’s behalf and contend against his enemies. (p. 210)

Divine audience as the primary and leading objective of the petitioner, we argue, is the starting point of any just cause, a privilege not always realised by those variously victimised by injustice.

A just cause is determinable for a worthy individual 17:2–12

Unlike other individual lament psalms where the speaker registers his complaint as quickly as he begins (e.g. Ps 3:1; 7:1; 43:1; 64:1; 69:1; 86:1; etc.), Psalm 17:1–7 strategically builds up the case for personal uprightness as the basis for divine audience and predictably for intervention. The invocation of God by his covenantal name יהוה (yhwḥ) is motivated by the pervasive approach of assuming that personal uprightness as the justification for divine attention also commands divine arbitration. The statement that the prayer is from lips that are free of מירנלים (mirnails) deceit as in the state or condition of deliberately misleading is underscored by the injunction that divine justice or vindication מישפאת (mispaṭ) is applicable to the מישרימים (misārim) upright referring to the manner of life distinctive of the blameless (Wiseman 1999:417). The innocence with which the psalmist pleads is consistent with the category of psalms such as 7, 16, 35 and 139 that some scholars are beginning to recognise as a distinct classification (Bratcher & Reyburn 1991).

The portraiture of worthiness or blamelessness dominates the verses that ensue firstly, by divine attestation in 17:3, and then through personal behaviour in 17:4. Divine substantiations of personal uprightness of the speaker emanate from subjection ישב (bāḥān) to test or examine with the view to try and learn the genuineness of an object by examination, or observing reaction to a standard, and in this case with the individual’s heart as the object of the probing (Swanson 1997). Then also the speaker has been subjected to night נועם (nūʿām) inspections that are meticulous check-ups; implying to pass in review, to muster, calling to account in order to establish state of affairs (Landes 2001). By the same token, the speaker has been exposed to seemingly severe צער (sārāḥ) refining a concept used of the removal of impurities in metal to purify it (Swanson 1997). Similar divine attestations of personal uprightness can be read in Psalm 26:1–3 in demonstration of loyalty to Yahweh. Psalm 17 unmistakably motivates personal uprightness for the grandeur cause – justice itself.

The self-attestation through personal behaviour begins with a statement of intent through the concept זמآن (zāmān) to plan, determine or resolve implying to think with the purpose of planning or deciding a course of action (Swanson 1997). The planned action is that of carefully watching his progress (Jos 23:1; Jud 8:34; 1 Ks 8:46).

Needless to say, 17:6 follows on with reiterating the plea to be heard (17:1–2), intentionally placed here as a deserved privilege or earned on the basis of the well-articulated personal uprightness. The appeal for divine צדק (ṭēḏēq) unfailling kindness (17:7) for much-needed protection from his adversaries allows for the case of uprightness once again as the basis for such intervention. In fact, there is the bold presentation of God as the חסاة (ḥāsā) deliverer as a reciprocal benefit of the צדק (ṭēḏēq) those who take refuge in him, inferring to a relationship of mutual trust. That reciprocity of uprightness as deserving protection culminates in 17:8–9 with a request for divine enablement for the preservation the special relationship between the petitioner and Yahweh. Keil and Delitzsch (1996:nn) describe the ‘apple of Your eye’ appellation (Deut 32:10; Pro 7:2; Zec 2:8) as an extrapolation of covenant relationship towards himself in which Yahve has placed David, and the relationship of love in which David stands to Yahweh, fully justified (Keil & Delitzsch 1996). Such a covenantal term of endearment followed by an active seeking of protection from the oppressors suitably situated ‘under the shadow of your wings’, a figure, taken from the eagle, which hides its young under its wing (Keil & Delitzsch 1996). This is particularly significant in view of the impending threat from the antagonists here named as איוב (ʾōyēḇ) the wicked and שאו (šavō) the enemy bent on שׂאֵד (ṣēḏēq) utter deceit as in the state or condition of injustice. (p. 46)

10.Pertaining to being evil with a focus on the guilt of violating a standard.

11.Refers to the hostile, opponents of an individual or a nation described by McComiskey (1999:36) as those whose defeat would be a mark of divine favour [2 Sm 7:9; 22:18, 41], or whose destruction is seen as a result of divine intervention [Jos 23:1; Jud 8:34; 1 Ks 8:46].
The use of the imagery of the lion ready to pounce on the unsuspecting prey. All the figurations here collectively encapsulate the vantage position the enemy has acquired over the innocent victim.

A just cause is ultimately pacified through the attainment of divine uprightness 17:13–15

The imperative הָגָד (qāḏām) ‘arise’ (cf. Ps 7:6; 9:12; 9:12; 44:26), which is onomatopoetic and whose verbosity is common amongst the Orientals of verbs for going, going forward and of setting about anything with impetus (Gesenius & Tregelles 2003:727), is perhaps appropriate to what has been a carefully calculated presentation of the petitioner’s innocence. The appropriateness is derived from the foregoing description of the overwhelming enemy who now has to meet his match in the addressee יְהֹוָה (Yhwh) understandably invoked by his covenantal name.12 The covenantal name grants the petitioner access to the full privileges embedded in the covenant here demonstrable from the series of imperatives that ensue compelling God as a trusted party to act on his behalf. The actions here associated with divine intervention as in הָגָד (qāḏām) confront, יָצָר (kārâ) prostrate or cast down and קָדַם (qāḏām) rescue represent a triumvirate of the Lord’s punitive power exercised through his כָּרַע (kārāʿ) sword, often used as a symbol of divine judgement (cf. Ex 15:9; Lv 26:25; 26:33; Num 22:23; Deut 33:29; Is 66:16; Ezr 12:14) (Barry et al. 2012, 2016).

The call to divine intervention seems to be implied in 17:14, though laden with textual problems as attested in Leeven’s (1961:48–54) article and subsequently confirmed by other scholars (Craigie 2004:161; Goldingay 2006:244; Weiser 1962:180; Wilson 2002:324), makes a final plea. Without getting lost in the detail of the exceptionally difficult to translate verse, destruction of the enemy is seemingly envisaged here on the one hand, and on the other hand the guarantee of protection of the cherished ones, a translation adopted in the NIV:

O Lord, by your hand save me from such men, from men of this world whose reward is in this life.

You still the hunger of those you cherish; their sons have plenty, and they store up wealth for their children.13

The key feature here is noun וְיָעָף (yāyāf) ‘hand’ which compliments the anthropomorphic attribute of divine intervention seen throughout the psalm (cf. 17:1,6 ear; 17:2, 8 eye(s); 17:4 lips; 17:7 hand; 17:8 wings; 17:15 face). The attribution is the petitioner’s strategy to personalize the modality of the sought intervention by appealing to auditory, visual and kinesthetic senses to intimate the idea of imminence.

The petitioner’s portrayal of personal uprightness as his terminus comes to the fore in the concluding verse with the appositive יָעָף (yāyāf) ‘as for me’. The realistic threat of the enemy far greater than in 17:18, with the dative of the LXX. translators; and thus, it is that they everywhere translate פָּלַט (pālǎṭ) 'hand' which compliments of the LXX. sword, often used as a confrontation, prostrate or cast down and confront, not kārāʿ, prefixes, bow(s) as if they were followed by יְהֹוָה (Yhwh) or אדונֵי (Adoni). This custom was already in vogue in the days of the LXX. translators; and thus, it is that they everywhere translate פָּלַט (pālǎṭ) as if they were followed by יְהֹוָה (Yhwh) or אדונֵי (Adoni). This custom was already in vogue in the days of the LXX. translators; and thus, it is that they everywhere translate פָּלַט (pālǎṭ) 'hand' which compliments of the LXX. sword, often used as a confrontation, prostrate or cast down and confront, not kārāʿ, prefixes, bow(s) as if they were followed by יְהֹוָה (Yhwh) or אדונֵי (Adoni). This custom was already in vogue in the days of the LXX. translators; and thus, it is that they everywhere translate פָּלַט (pālǎṭ) 'hand' which compliments of the LXX. sword, often used as a confrontation, prostrate or cast down and confront, not kārāʿ, prefixes, bow(s) as if they were followed by יְהֹוָה (Yhwh) or אדונֵי (Adoni). This custom was already in vogue in the days of the LXX. translators; and thus, it is that they everywhere translate פָּלַט (pālǎṭ) 'hand' which compliments.

12Gesenius and Tregelles (2003:337) recall that הָגָד (qāḏām), pr. name of the supreme God (םהיה), amongst the Hebrews. The later Hebrews, for some centuries before the time of Christ, either misled by a false interpretation of certain laws (Ex 20:7; Lv 24:11), or else following some old superstition, regarded this name as so very holy, that it might not even be pronounced (see Philo, Vit. Mois t. ii. p. 519, 529). Whenever, therefore, this nomen tetragrammon occurred in the sacred text יִבְשָׁם (bēšām), they were accustomed to substitute for it יְהֹוָה, and thus, the vowels of the noun יִבְשָׁם are in the Masoretic text placed under the four letters יָהוּ, but with this difference, that the initial יָד receives a simple and not a compound

13Anon (1984) cf. with other translation: The Holman Christian Standard Bible (HCSB): ‘With Your hand, LORD, save me from men, from men of the world, whose portion is in this life; You fill their bellies with what You have in store, their sons are satisfied, and they leave their surplus to their children’; English Standard Version (ESV): ‘from men by your hand, O LORD, from men of the world whose portion is in this life. You fill their womb with treasure; they are satisfied with children, and they leave their abundance to their infants’. God’s Word to the Nations (GWN): ‘With your power rescue me from mortals, O LORD, from mortals who enjoy their inheritance only in this life. You fill their bellies with your treasure. Their children are satisfied with it, and they leave what remains to their children’. King James Version (KJV): ‘From men which are thy hand, O LORD, from men of the world, which have their portion in this life, and whose belly thou fillest with thy hid treasure’. The Septuagint (LXX): ‘because of the enemies of thine hand: O Lord, destroy them from the earth; scatter them in their life, though their belly has been filled with thy hidden treasures: they have been satisfied with uncleanness, and have left the remnant of their possessions to their babes’. New Revised Standard Version (NRSV): ‘from mortals—by your hand, O LORD—from mortals whose portion in life is in this world. May their bellies be filled with what you have stored up for them; may their children have more than enough; may they leave something over to their little ones’. The Jewish Publication Society (Tanak): ‘from men, O LORD, with Your hand, from men whose share in life is fleeting. But as to Your treasured ones, fill their bellies; their sons too shall be satisfied, and have something to leave over for their young’. http://www.ve.org.za Open Access
from resolved with only a familiar assurance of divine retribution, the tone of the closure of this lament moots that it is not necessarily the punishment of the enemy through which justice is truly served but the stated personal goal through the apothecosis of seeing the Lord’s face in righteousness and satisfaction (תָּנִבְנָה tânînah) with attaining divine likeness (17:15). The noun צדיק (ṣēḏēq) righteousness recalls how the petitioner began in 17:1 by utilising the same concept connoting a just cause and now reconfigured to embed a much sought after personal attainment. Righteousness which is sometimes used as a title of the Lord (Jer 23:6; 33:16) or as a concept for justice as the act of fairly deciding what is right in a legal case, without prejudice ( Dt 16:20) (Swanson 1997), aptly parallels the concept commanding the psalmist’s highest attainment and that is divine תומנה (tômānâ(h)) likeness better qualified as the same as (Thomas 1998), or ideas of form, image, likeness, representation and semblance (Strong 1995). Kidner (1973:107) views ‘the significance of the righteous for seeing God face to face is not purely judicial … [but] supreme privilege’ (Duet 34:10; Num 12:8). This is where the petitioner finds resolution.

Reflections

Psalm 17 is a lament by an individual who pleads for divine vindication against an enemy where he presents own uprightness as the basis for the appeal. Some key notable thoughts deserve to be highlighted for purposes of this article.

Firstly, it is the matter of self-declared righteousness common to the psalms of innocence (Ps 17:3–5 cf. 7:4–6, 9; 17; 18:21–25; 26:1, 3–8, 11; 35:13–14; 38:21; 44:21; 86:2; and also in Ps 119:22, 51, 55–57, 59–62, 87, 100–102, 109–110, 121, 129, 166–168). In reading the sentiments expressed elsewhere, the impression given is that the sense of self-declared righteousness emanates, on the one hand, in contrast with the wicked opponents whose attacks are unproved. On the other hand, righteousness is derived from the sense of loyalty to YHWH demonstrable throughout the psalm. That said, the psalmist is not suggesting the absence of sin in his life, but the courage to redefine a walk with and total reliance on YHWH as righteousness.

The story that this article commenced with comes to mind. It turns out that the man who formerly enjoyed government protection in his days of dealing so unkindly with others upon his fallout and in times of desperation started attending church services. His desiring of divine intervention was viewed with suspicion and insincerity. His quest for righteousness was not reliant on YHWH based on a longstanding track record that speaks for itself. In this case, it was desperation derived from loss of former privilege.

Secondly, divine audience and vindication are sought after on the basis of personal pursuit of צדיק (ṣēḏēq) righteousness without which צדיק (ṣēḏēq) also translated justice is unobtainable particularly from one whose name is yet again an epitome of צדיק (ṣēḏēq) righteousness. Therefore, innocence implies the worthiness of the psalmist’s life in YHWH’s eyes before whose scrutiny he self presents for affirmation of the fact. It is this attained state of being acquitted of any offence that is strategically utilised in the psalm as a basis for divine audience and intervention. Only such a person can approach the Lord and expect protection, he argues.

At the TED talk recorded on 01 March 2012, Bryan Stevenson14 a lawyer by profession, spoke about the American justice system and its disenfranchising of the disadvantaged by stating that:

It’s a system that treats you much better if you’re rich and guilty than if you’re poor and innocent … In many parts of this country, the opposite of poverty is not wealth. In too many places the opposite of poverty is justice. (Adam 2015:62)

In surmising his observations, justice could simply begin with being afforded the opportunity to be heard. Africa has numerous cases illustrative of justice delays that amount to justice denials as in the case of Mrs Grace Mugabe’s diplomatic immunity for allegedly beating up and injuring 20-year-old Gabriella Engels who was apparently partying with her two sons.15 The man in referred to in the opening story would certainly have similarly victimised certainly during his heydays who would have no legal recourse given the implied immunity and a sense of invincibility.

Thirdly, personal uprightness is actually a declaration of a justifiable cause unlike the enemy’s intents. Righteousness is encapsulated through honest lips (17:1,3) and a pure heart (1:3) as the focal points interrogation for both the appellant and the antagonist (17:10). The heart in the seat of thoughts, designs and disposition (Kwakkel 2002:83) shows up in the stances taken by the psalmist and his opponent. Standards of uprightness are universally applied by the psalmist in articulating grounds for fairness or equity. Both the petitioner and the unnamed enemy are subjected to the same tests through which the content of their hearts will accordingly be disclosed. The uprightness of the petitioner, on the one hand, commands divine audience, whilst the deceitfulness of the enemy, on the other hand, provokes divine attention. For the enemy, a just cause is undeserved except in the punishment to be executed in defence of the upright.

The combination of the powerful and guilty perpetrator against an innocent and vulnerable victim presented in the psalm is reminiscent of contemporary scenarios of utter absence of recourse. It plays out in what are sometimes dubbed David versus Goliath cases where an individual unprecedently takes up a case against a powerful corporation. The Amadiba community near Xolobeni, in the Wild Coast of South Africa, provides parallel material where an Australian company is trying to mine the titanium-rich mineral sands

14 Bryan Stevenson spends most of his time in jails and prisons and on death row. He is a lawyer, and the founder of the Equal Justice Initiative. See http://blog.ted.com/all-of-our-survival-is-tied-to-the-survival-of-everyone-bryan-stevenson-at-ted2012/ 15 See the full account on Times Live 20 August 2017 https://www.timeslive.co.za/politics/2017-08-20-dirco-confirms-grace-mugabe-has-been-granted-diplomatic-immunity/
We observe with Leiter (1995) the following: [The lament pattern] normally consists of an address to God, a complaint, a confession of trust, a petition, words of assurance, and a vow of praise ... A phenomenon in the lament psalm which has fascinated many scholars is the abrupt shift from lament to praise. At the conclusion of many of the laments, the tone of sorrow and lamentation comes to an end, and the psalmist suddenly breaks into joyous praise. (p. 44)

Psalm 17 is somewhat an exception. The conclusion is not a sudden outbreak of praise but satisfaction in uprightness that emanates from Yahweh. If nothing inhibits this attainment, then justice is truly served.
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